

Guidelines for treatment reporting

1		
2		
3	Introduction.....	2
4	Recommendations	3
5	1. Operational parameters reporting.....	3
6	2. Treatment parameter reporting	3
7	3. Treatment bio-effect reporting.....	3
8	Detailed Methodologies.....	4
9	1. Reporting on methodologies used to measure/simulate/derive FUS system and field	
10	parameters	4
11	Reporting on FUS transducer & system	4
12	Reporting acoustic field: hydrophone measurements	4
13	Reporting acoustic output parameters: power and intensity measurement.....	6
14	Reporting numerical simulation.....	7
15	Reporting use of microbubbles and other cavitation agents	8
16	2. Reporting on the methodologies to assess bio-effects	9
17	Reporting temperature monitoring	9
18	Reporting cavitation monitoring	11
19	Reporting on the validation of induced bio-effects	13
20	DQA procedure.....	13
21	Reporting DQA procedures	13
22	Standards.....	15
23	References.....	17
24	ANNEX 1: Medical Ultrasound Test Measurement Laboratories.....	19
25		
26		
27		
28		

29 Introduction

30

31 The guidelines outlined in this document aim to fulfill three main objectives:

32

- 33 • To ensure consistency in reporting FUS treatment parameters, in order to allow
- 34 cross-comparison of studies performed by different groups and/or with different
- 35 systems
- 36 • To provide guidelines for assessing and reporting bioeffects associated with different
- 37 FUS treatment regimens, necessary for (1) cross-comparison of studies, (2) validation
- 38 of therapeutic bioeffects
- 39 • To provide guidelines for testing the FUS systems and protocols

40

41 The guidelines are divided into five sections: (1) overall recommendations for important

42 reporting parameters, (2) detailed methodologies for measuring/simulating FUS system and

43 field parameters, (3) detailed methodologies for assessing bioeffects, (4) dosimetry quality

44 assurance (DQA) procedures for FUS equipment, and (5) relevant standards and references.

45

46 While these guidelines were written for both pre-clinical and clinical studies, some of the

47 treatment information described below may be more useful and would be more readily

48 provided for pre-clinical rather than clinical studies, especially if there is a commercial entity

49 involved, and will have to be adapted on case by case basis.

50

51 These guidelines were drafted by the Focused Ultrasound Foundation with input from

52 several focused ultrasound scientific and technical experts. They were disseminated via the

53 Foundation's newsletter and website, and have been made open for public comments, and

54 were revised following reception of these initial comments. We envision these guidelines to

55 remain a living document that will be regularly updated based on users feedbacks.

56

57

58 Recommendations

59

60 1. Operational parameters reporting

61

62 The following must be considered:

- 63 • FUS transducer & system
 - 64 ○ See **Reporting on FUS transducer & system**
 - 65 ○ See **Reporting DQA procedures**
- 66 • Frequency (Hz or equivalent), bandwidth
- 67 • Axial and radial beamplots of the acoustic pressure field (Pa) and/or quantification of
- 68 the Intensity (W/m^2 or equivalent unit of Power/Area)
 - 69 ○ See **Reporting acoustic output parameters: power and intensity**
 - 70 ○ See **Reporting acoustic field: hydrophone measurements**
 - 71 ○ See **Reporting numerical simulation**
- 72 • Acoustic output power (W or equivalent unit of Energy/time)
 - 73 ○ See **Reporting acoustic output parameters: power and intensity**
 - 74 ○ See **Reporting numerical simulation**

75

76 2. Treatment parameter reporting

77

78 The following must be reported:

- 79 • Treatment duration (s or equivalent)
- 80 • Total treatment time vs actual treatment delivery (on) time
- 81 • If pulsed: pulse repetition rate and pulse duration (or number of acoustic cycles per
- 82 pulse or duty cycle), and any appropriate complementary description of the pulsing
- 83 regime, or for histotripsy the number of pulses per treatment spot
- 84 • Acoustic Pressure/Intensity/Power levels used for the treatment
- 85 • Target tissue type(s)
- 86 • Depth of treatment
- 87 • Position of transducer relative to tissue/anatomy
- 88 • If multiple spots are treated: sequential positioning of the treatment spots, duration
- 89 at each spot, waiting time between spots if any. If using handheld probe system,
- 90 treatment spot positioning strategy, duration, waiting time if any.
- 91 • If microbubbles or other cavitation agents are used, composition, dose, method of
- 92 injection
 - 93 ○ See **Reporting use of microbubbles**

94

95 3. Treatment bio-effect reporting

96

97 The following should be given:

- 98 • If using thermal effects: Thermal dose, peak and average temperature, temperature
- 99 maps, recorded over time
 - 100 ○ See **Recommendations for temperature monitoring**
- 101 • Localization, monitoring method, type and level of cavitation activity
 - 102 ○ See **Recommendation for reporting on cavitation monitoring**
- 103 • Targeting accuracy, lesion formation, changes in biomarkers
 - 104 ○ See **Recommendation for validation of induced bio-effects**

105

106 Detailed Methodologies

107

108 1. Reporting on methodologies used to measure/simulate/derive FUS system and field
109 parameters

110

111 The guidelines on how to report FUS treatment parameters follow the guidelines published
112 in reference [1] to determine and report the exposure conditions used in studies.

113

114 Reporting on FUS transducer & system

115 • Transducer geometry (plane v. focused, single v. multiple elements, diameter, focal
116 length, f-number, positioning of elements if multiple, backing material v. air-backed),
117 material (PZT, other ceramics...), and characterization (impedance, use of matching
118 circuit, center frequency, frequency bandwidth). At minima: transducer geometry,
119 center frequency and bandwidth

120 • When using non-commercial or clinical systems: Drive electronics: references to
121 function generator, amplifier (including manufacturer)

122

123 Reporting acoustic field: hydrophone measurements

124 • Hydrophone manufacturer and model/hydrophone type (e.g., membrane, needle,
125 capsule, fiber optic ...)

126 • Hydrophone characteristics: diameter, sensitivity (frequency response for the range
127 of therapeutic frequencies, or at least center frequency of the hydrophone), dynamic
128 range, hydrophone calibration, hydrophone precision/accuracy

129 • Scanning grids: interval between steps, dimensions of the grid

130 • Hydrophone data collection: sampling rate, filtering, amplifier or preamplifier

131 • Spatial-variation of peak-negative and peak-positive pressure: as a minimum
132 measured along three orthogonal axes, one of which being the direction of
133 propagation of the ultrasound beam.

134 • Temperature at which the measurements were performed

135 • At minima, ensure that the information included in the documentation follow
136 specifications of measurement standards (such as the 60601 series, see the
137 "Standards" section at the end of the guidelines)

138

139 *Hydrophone measurements*

140

141 Measurements should be made to determine at least the maximum values of peak negative
142 and positive acoustic pressures. The pressure variations should be measured along at least
143 three orthogonal axes, one of which should be the direction of propagation of the
144 ultrasound beam. The spatial interval between sample points may be different on each axis;
145 but the interval should be sufficiently small to demonstrate the main features of the spatial
146 variation.

147

148 A key requirement on acoustic measurements made by hydrophone is a report of the overall
149 uncertainty.

150

151 The description of the hydrophone set-up will include hydrophone diameter, sensitivity (
152 frequency response for the range of therapeutic frequencies, or at the transducer driving
153 frequency for CW), dynamic range, its calibration (how and where), precision/accuracy;
154 description of the data collection methods including sampling rate, filtering (if filters used),
155 amplifier or preamplifier.

156
157 Treatment reports should include methods employed (if any) to correct (i.e., deconvolve) for
158 the distorting effects of frequency-dependent sensitivity [17,18] and spatial averaging [19]. If
159 no methods were employed, that should be stated also.

160
161 For precise recommendation on the use of hydrophones to characterize acoustic fields for
162 medical applications, refer to the IEC standards¹. Particularly, these standards provide
163 recommendations on relevant hydrophone characteristics given specifications of the
164 therapeutic transducer, the acoustic parameters to be measured, the derived intensity
165 parameters, and the definition of measurement procedure that may be used for the
166 determination of acoustic pressure parameters.

167
168 Commercial scanning tanks are available², and in-house systems can be designed. The FUS
169 Foundation for example will publish a blueprint for how to build one's own 3D-printed,
170 computer-driven motorized system to be used with hydrophones for acoustic field
171 calibration.

172
173 Because of the technical difficulty of measuring these acoustic outputs with a hydrophone
174 and force balance, some laboratories may not be able to undertake these measurements
175 themselves. In that case, we recommend contacting laboratories who do have the necessary
176 expertise, or companies providing these services, and the need to involve one of these
177 should be factored into a study from the start. A list of potential sites is provided in Annex 1
178 (Medical Ultrasound Test Measurement Laboratories), and the Focused Ultrasound
179 Foundation can connect you with appropriate laboratories if needed.

180
181 Measurements for characterization of the acoustic field pressure and intensity should be
182 performed in water to allow comparisons between centers. If estimated values of in situ
183 acoustic pressure or intensity are reported (for instance, by using a derating factor), the
184 method for calculating the in situ value should be fully explained and a worked example
185 given. Note that it is generally not correct to use a derating factor of $0.3 \text{ dB}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{MHz}^{-1}$ to
186 estimate the in situ values of intensity or acoustic pressure that are relevant to the
187 occurrence temperature rise, cavitation or other mechanical effects. An estimate of the
188 transmission loss of the propagation path should be used instead.

189
190 If high pressures are to be measured, the use of a fiber optic or membrane hydrophone [2]
191 will be necessary to assess whether nonlinear propagation and the associated phenomenon
192 of acoustic saturation are significantly affecting the in situ exposure levels.

¹ [IEC 62127-1:2007+AMD1:2013 CSV](#) ; [IEC 62127-2:2007+AMD1:2013+AMD2:2017 CSV](#) ; [IEC 62127-3:2007+AMD1:2013 CSV](#)

² Examples of commercially available scanning systems: Onda
http://www.ondacorp.com/products_testingsol_scanningsystem.shtml; Precision Acoustics
<https://www.acoustics.co.uk/product/ums3-scanning-tank/>

193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238

Temperature and water quality will affect the measurements. Water should be conditioned, highly filtered (0.2 μm , preferably) and degassed. While a physiological temperature of 37 C° would be preferable, it is often practically easier to perform the measurement at room temperature, and this should be reported.

Reporting acoustic output parameters: power and intensity measurement

The following are needed:

- Description of the radiation force balance system, including accuracy/precision
- Acoustic power as a function of voltage to the transducer
- Frequency, pulsing or scanning regime
- Transducer efficiency
- Acoustic intensity
- Uncertainty of these measurements

Power and intensity measurement

Measurements should be made to determine at least

1. the total output power
2. the spatial-peak temporal-average intensity of the field (and the distance from the transducer at which these measurements are taken)

Radiation force will provide a measurement of the acoustic output power for a given set of electrical inputs. Reports should include a description of the radiation force balance system, including its accuracy/precision, and how acoustic power measurements were performed. For details on the procedure for force balance measurements, refer to the IEC standards (see IEC 61161 or IEC 62555.)

Operational parameters, including the acoustic frequency, pulse repetition rate (or an appropriate description of any pulsing or scanning regime), and pulse duration (or number of acoustic cycles per pulse) should be given over the relevant range of output powers.

The acoustic power should be measured for different transducer drive voltages to provide a plot of power versus voltage.

Estimating the transducer efficiency (ratio of output acoustic power to input electrical power) will require a measure of the input electrical power, and its method of measurement should be provided as well.

Quantities such as intensity must be calculated by combining the power measurements with field measurements (e.g. hydrophone or field simulations). The method of calculation of intensity from these measurements should be detailed, including the area over which the intensity is calculated (see for example [9,10].)

239 All these measurements have uncertainties that must be estimated, and a description of
240 how uncertainty was derived should be provided.

241
242 While it may not be possible for commercial entities to precisely report some of these
243 information as they can be proprietary, such as the efficiency of the transducer, information
244 about the delivered acoustic power using treatment settings should be provided.

245
246

247 Reporting numerical simulation

248

249 The following are important:

- 250 • Model equation
- 251 • Numerical implementation
- 252 • Code verification
- 253 • Parameters modeled
- 254 • Coupling of the system
- 255 • Software used
- 256 • System discretization
- 257 • Material parameters and transducer characteristics
- 258 • Sensitivity analysis
- 259 • System geometry
- 260 • Initial and boundary conditions
- 261 • Outputs simulated at each point
- 262 • Limitations of the model

263
264

265 *Numerical simulations*

266

267 Numerical simulations of acoustic fields are a useful tool for designing a treatment scheme
268 and/or validating experimental measurements.

269

270 The FDA has published detailed guidance on reporting the results of simulations³, from upon
271 which this section is based. The document has sections on both ultrasound propagation
272 modeling and heat transfer, and we strongly recommend referring to these guidelines.

273

274 Several tools are available for numerical modeling, some in open format, such as the HITU
275 Simulator developed by the FDA⁴ or k-wave developed by University College London and
276 Brno University⁵. Both run on Matlab and include some form of bioheat equation solving to
277 predict heating and calculation of thermal dose in tissues.

278

279 Detailed reporting should include:

- 280 • Model equations including propagation model (full wave, parabolic, linear or
281 non-linear), frequency dependence of attenuation if modeled, assumptions
282 underlying the propagation model used

³ <https://www.fda.gov/media/87586/download>

⁴ <https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/cdrh-offices/hitu-simulator>;

⁵ <http://www.k-wave.org>

- 283 • Numerical implementation, such as finite difference method or finite element
- 284 method
- 285 • Code verification, method used to assess the accuracy of the model's
- 286 predictions (bench methods, experimental data...)
- 287 • Parameters modeled (pressure or displacement), fluid or solid model (shear
- 288 wave taken into account)
- 289 • Coupling of acoustic field simulation with thermal effects, streaming, ...
- 290 • Software used (commercial, user-developed...)
- 291 • System discretization: spatial mesh (uniform, non-uniform), simulation
- 292 timepoints...
- 293 • Configurations: material parameters (organ/tissue specifics) including speed
- 294 of sound, density, absorption, coefficient of non-linearity; and if heat transfer
- 295 is also modeled (such as bioheat equation) heat capacity, thermal
- 296 conductivity, perfusion rate, and transducer characteristics (acoustic power,
- 297 frequency, pressure/phase distribution), dependence of these properties on
- 298 other variables such as temperature or frequency.
- 299 • Sensitivity analysis: if uncertainties are associated with the data, it is relevant
- 300 to perform a sensitivity analysis to estimate the effect of uncertainties on the
- 301 simulation results
- 302 • System geometry: details about device (single element geometry, where
- 303 multiple elements include arrangements of the elements, dimensions) and
- 304 tissue geometry modeled (anatomical features included, if anatomy
- 305 generated from images, describe the technique used, any scaling or
- 306 similarities used in the modeling approach)
- 307 • System conditions, including initial and boundary conditions
- 308 • Outputs simulated at each point (pressure, temperature...)
- 309 • Results from the computational modeling, recommended to be provided over
- 310 a range of parameters
- 311 • Limitations of the model and how this might affect the output
- 312

Reporting use of microbubbles and other cavitation agents

- 313
- 314
- 315 • Characteristics of the agents (size, composition...) or reference if commercial agents
- 316 • Concentration/dilution
- 317 • Injection method
- 318 • Dose
- 319

Microbubbles / Cavitation agents

320

321

322 If the treatment involves the use of microbubbles (MB) for therapeutic effects, such as BBB

323 opening, MB characteristics, dose, injection method has to be reported precisely as they will

324 affect response to ultrasound. This information should include:

- 325 • Type of agents: commercial or homemade, size distribution characterization (device
- 326 used, when was it measured with respect to treatment time, where - straight out of
- 327 the vial or at the other end of the injection setup), composition including shell and
- 328 gas
- 329 • Agents concentration, dilution if any before injection

- 330
- Injection method (bolus v. infusion, single v. repeated injection, injection volume),
- 331 syringe (gauge), if infusion is used give infusion rate and infusion duration
- 332
- Dose, amount of injected agents (such as number of agents /kg)
- 333
- Injection volume
- 334
- 335

336 **2. Reporting on the methodologies to assess bio-effects**

337

338 **Reporting temperature monitoring**

339

340 If using thermocouple

- 341
- specifications (type, size)
- 342
- locations
- 343
- parameters (temporal sampling, duration)
- 344
- accuracy
- 345
- artefacts & their mitigation
- 346

347 If using MRI thermometry:

- 348
- sequence specifications
- 349
- maps of peak temperature over time
- 350
- average temperature in the treatment zone over time
- 351
- voxel size (spatial averaging resolution)
- 352
- accuracy (temperature resolution)
- 353
- estimated thermal dose at the treatment location
- 354
- 355
- 356

357 ***Thermal dosimetry***

358

359 Monitoring of the temporal evolution of temperature allows calculation of the thermal dose

360 (or thermal isoeffect dose) delivered, or a cumulative equivalent minutes at 43 °C

361 (CEM43°C), which has been demonstrated as an empirical estimator of induced necrosis.

362 Monitoring and reporting thermal effects induced by a treatment is essential to allow

363 comparison of treatment regimens and to estimate a thermal dose, average, and peak

364 temperature reached. Basic temperature monitoring will include the use of thermocouples,

365 and the use of multiple thermocouples at several locations can give information about the

366 temperature distribution within the tissues. For temperature mapping, MRI thermometry,

367 such as PRF-based MR thermometry [3], seems to be the most reliable option although it is

368 not always available. Temperature maps can be recorded as a function of time, to estimate

369 temporal variation of peak temperature, average temperature in the treatment zone over

370 time, and the thermal dose at the treatment location. These maps should be recorded over a

371 time span which that covers a pre-measurement to estimate noise, the treatment duration

372 and the cool-down phase.

373

374 For MRI thermometry, reporting should include:

- 375
- specifications of the sequence used to estimate temperature
- 376
- resolution of the maps (voxel size)

- 377
- 378
- 379
- 380
- 381
- 382
- 383
- 384
- 385
- method of calculation for each map (what is quoted, average - over which area, or peak?)
 - For temperature maps recorded over time:
 - include pre- and post-treatment phases
 - include estimated peak temperature, average temperature in the treatment zone, and the thermal dose at the treatment location
 - For thermal dose maps: thermal-dose contour maps, including the threshold value for damage for the organ of interest

386 For ultrasound image-based systems, options include tissue change monitoring (thermal
387 mapping) [4], and visual changes in the US images during treatment [5].

388

389 The use of a thermocouple requires some precautions, as artefacts may give incorrect
390 readings: the presence of a thermocouple changes the environment at the point of interest
391 and may introduce a systematic error. To minimize errors, particularly sources of errors such
392 as thermal conductivity and the effect of the difference in heat capacity between the
393 thermocouple wire and the surrounding tissue, the wire diameter of the thermocouple
394 should remain small, ideally $1/20$, of the wavelength of ultrasound field [11].

395

396 An important artifact remains however and must be accounted for. This arises from the
397 difference in density between the thermocouple wire and the surrounding tissue leading to
398 relative motion between the two and giving rise to so-called “viscous heating” at the
399 thermocouple-tissue interface [11]. Viscous heating leads to a very rapid increase in the
400 temperature which distorts measurements. The induced artifact can comprise 80% of the
401 measurement when using wire thermocouples [14].

402 Thermocouples that do not exhibit viscous heating exist, such as thin-film thermocouples
403 (TFT) [14], are not widely available and are not suitable for in-vivo experiments, limiting their
404 use to phantom or ex-vivo experiments.

405

406 One common compensation method for the viscous artifact is the “wait then measure”
407 approach; because the temperature rise due to viscous heating initially increases rapidly and
408 then levels off, it is assumed that waiting at the end of the insonification will allow the
409 temperature rise due to viscous heating to decay sufficiently. Different waiting periods have
410 been proposed, from 0.2 to 0.5s after the cessation of FUS exposure [12, 13]. Although such
411 a method will provide more confidence in the results, there is also no consistency as to
412 whether corrections for viscous heating are made or not, and it has been reported that the
413 corrected temperature rise determined with the “wait and see” approach depends on the
414 thermocouple type, beam width of the HIFU beam, and radius of the viscous heating
415 distribution, and should not be used without careful consideration [14].

416

417 More complete compensation approaches use numerical simulations to evaluate the viscous
418 heating, the heating due to ultrasound absorption in biological tissues, the temporal
419 behavior of the artifact and the effects of the thermocouple diameter, and then remove the
420 contribution of the viscous heating from experimental temperature rises [15].

421

422 Cavitation, and the presence of bubbles, can induce artifacts in temperature monitoring,
423 especially for MR thermometry, and strategies to avoid or compensate for these artifacts

424 should be reported. Cavitation may also artefactually influence thermocouple reading and
425 should be closely monitored.

426

427 Reporting cavitation monitoring

428

429 If using simple PCDs:

430

- PCDs specifications: frequency bandwidth, number, positions

431

- PCD signal acquisition: sampling frequency, number of points acquired, description of signal processing method applied, such as how frequency spectra were derived, filters used

432

433

434

- Specifications of the metrics used to quantify cavitation, such as computation of sub-harmonic power, broadband power, harmonic or ultra-harmonic power, what thresholds or metrics were used to assess presence/absence of cavitation activities

435

436

437

438 If mapping cavitation:

439

- Specifications of the experimental system, such as the imaging array, multiple PCDs...

440

- Description of the algorithms used to process the signal and localize cavitation, when possible (e.g. if not proprietary for commercial entities)

441

442

- If imaging cavitation using conventional imaging scanners, specification of the imaging mode used (B-mode, contrast mode...)

443

444

- Specifications of the metrics used to quantify cavitation, such as computation of sub-harmonic power, broadband power, harmonic or ultra-harmonic power, what thresholds or metrics were used to assess presence/absence of cavitation activities, when possible (e.g. if not proprietary for commercial entities)

445

446

447

448

449 Histotripsy

450

- Description of the method used to assess bubble activity (US, MRI)

451

- Description of the method used to assess treatment efficacy/changes in tissue structure (US imaging, optical imaging, histology, MRI imaging)

452

453

454

455 *Cavitation*

456

457 Cavitation, such as sustained inertial or non-inertial cavitation seeded by microbubbles is
458 now used as a therapeutic mechanism to enable increased delivery and penetration of drugs
459 across the blood-brain barrier or into tumors.

460

461

The treatment results will depend on the sonication parameters, the characteristics of
462 microbubbles or other cavitation agents used if any, such as their size, composition,
463 concentration, dose injected, injection method and rate, and the tissue or tumor type and
464 location. All these parameters should be carefully reported.

465

466

So-called pulsed-ultrasound also potentially rely on the generation of cavitation bubbles to
467 induce bioeffects.

468

469

In addition to reporting treatment characteristics, monitoring of cavitation activity is also
470 required to localize and quantify the effects. Several real-time monitoring strategies have

471 been proposed that rely on passive cavitation monitoring with a single transducer or
472 hydrophone, or on spatiotemporal monitoring, such as passive cavitation imaging. For
473 histotripsy in particular, image guidance schemes are preferable for quantifying the bubble
474 cloud activity and assessing treatment location.

475
476 Tissue liquefaction techniques – cavitation histotripsy, and boiling and shock wave
477 histotripsy – rely on bubble cloud activity to liquefy tissues mechanically. Methods for
478 characterizing histotripsy treatments have been reviewed in detail in [16]. For histotripsy
479 image guidance, assessment of bubble activity and treatment efficacy, B-Mode echogenicity
480 is the most ubiquitous parameter since the bubbles cloud generated will hyper-echoic on a
481 B-mode ultrasound imaging, whereas liquefied tissue appears hypo-echoic.

482
483 However, there have been reports of significant variability between patients, preventing
484 quantification of B-mode images. An alternative modality to B-mode imaging for localization
485 of the cavitation cloud is triangulation using PCDs, or so-called passive cavitation imaging
486 (PCI) utilizing an ultrasound imaging array to detect and beam-form acoustic emissions
487 generated by the mechanical oscillations of bubbles.

488 Bubble clouds can also be visualized using MRI, although the timing between the therapy
489 pulse and the imaging gradients is critical for monitoring cavitation, and the sensitivity of MR
490 sequences to bubble cloud formation is low compared with acoustic methods. Bubble cloud
491 motion, which can be used as a surrogate marker for tissue destruction, can be monitored
492 with color Doppler. Tissue liquefaction however may be better characterized by MRI, as
493 histotripsy ablation zones can be clearly visualized immediately post-insonation with T1- or
494 T2-weighted imaging, or using acoustic elastography since a strong decrease in tissue
495 elasticity occurs during fractionation. After treatment however, acoustic elastography
496 becomes difficult to perform as tracking of the shear wave becomes difficult in the hypo-
497 echoic focal zone. This will limit the accuracy of elastography techniques for delineating the
498 liquefied zone.

499

500 [Reporting on the validation of induced bio-effects](#)

501

502 Targeting accuracy

- 503 • Provide an estimate of the actual focal location relative to the desired location
- 504 • Explain how the target location was determined

505

506 Thermal treatments

- 507 • Histology (H&E): for necrosis, especially in case of thermal coagulation
- 508 • NADH staining: for early assessment of protein denaturation following thermal FUS
- 509 • IHC: for cell death, apoptosis & other death mechanisms
- 510 • CEUS to assess loss of perfusion in treated area

511

512 Histotripsy

- 513 • Histology (H&E) to demonstrate histotripsy-like tissue liquefaction and acellular debris, any hemorrhage or edema

514

515 Biomarkers

- 516 • When appropriate, monitor expected changes in biomarkers pre- and post-treatment values, such as PSA in prostate cancer treatment
- 517 • Other biological markers, such as the number of circulating tumor cells or the frequency/phenotype of immune cells, can also be monitored but will be more relevant for response monitoring than the for validation of treatment efficacy

518

519 *Bioeffects*

520

521 The validation of the tissue response is required to clearly demonstrate that the intended bio-effects were effectively induced.

522

523 Standard histology staining such as H&E can reveal necrosis in treated areas, especially in the case of thermal coagulation. Note that it may take time for the thermal coagulation to be clearly visible on H&E staining, and for early assessment of protein denaturation following thermal FUS, NADH staining is preferable (see [6] for example.) Damage such as tissue liquefaction induced by histotripsy can be clearly identified with standard histology imaging, and H&E stained histological sections of the lesion should contain sharply demarcated homogenized tissue areas.

524

525 For drug delivery applications, assessment of efficacy will have to be made on a case-by-case basis, for example using reporters to assess drug distribution and concentration with PET, MRI, fluorescence or bioluminescence imaging post-treatment, or quantification on tissue samples post-mortem using imaging or direct drug quantification such as amount of drug/g. of tissue using HPLC for example..

526

527 [DQA procedure](#)

528

529 [Reporting DQA procedures](#)

- 530 • Specifications of the DQA processes

531

- 546 ○ Use of phantom, hydrophone or RFB measurements, ...
- 547 ○ Specifications of phantom, hydrophone...
- 548 ● Frequency/schedule of the DQA
- 549 ● Metrics assessed (lesion formation, thermometry, ...)

550
551

552 *DQA procedure*

553

554 A DQA procedure should be used to provide a rapid and efficient method to assess the
555 consistency of the FUS transducer output. When possible, using a phantom approved by the
556 FDA as a “medical device development tool” (MDDT) could enable a more streamlined
557 regulatory pathway for the treatment device in the USA.

558

559 The FDA has released a report⁶ on a MDDT-qualified tissue mimicking material (TMM) for
560 preclinical acoustic performance characterization of HIFU devices, intended as a standard
561 material that can be used for acoustic performance evaluation during high intensity
562 therapeutic ultrasound (HITU) bench testing. Although the TMM was developed to match
563 literature values of soft tissue acoustic properties, it cannot replicate the complexity or the
564 thermal response of tissue thermal ablation and thus should not be used for these purposes
565 in lieu of ex-vivo or in-vivo tissues, as FUS-induced temperature rises in the TMM may differ
566 from soft tissues. The TMM has acoustical properties in the range of non-fatty soft tissues
567 and is formulated to assist in the design evaluation phase of HITU Class II or Class III devices
568 operating at clinically relevant parameters. The report provides the formulation, a
569 standardized generic recipe, the characteristics and guide for use in performing tests of HIFU
570 lesioning in the TMM under MRI thermometry. Cavitation can occur in this phantom, but the
571 threshold for cavitation is not reported. It is however recommended to use a new phantom
572 should cavitation occur.

573

574 Different types of thermo-sensitive phantoms can also be fabricated in the laboratory, using
575 for example bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a surrogate marker to ensure that the
576 temperature for tissue coagulation is reached with the device and treatment parameters [7].
577 BSA can be coupled with thermochromic ink to make a so-called tissue-mimicking
578 thermochromic phantom (TMTCP) for direct visualization and quantification of HIFU heating
579 [8]. These TMTCP have properties comparable to those of human soft tissues, and upon
580 heating, exhibit incremental but permanent color change for temperatures between 45 and
581 70°C, allowing post-treatment quantification of a lesion formed, which can also be detected
582 with MRI thermometry and hypointense regions on T2-weighted MRI.

583

584 Pieces of fresh chicken breast or bovine liver can also be used in a first pass to monitor
585 formation of thermal lesion, as coagulated tissues are very easy to distinguish in these two
586 types of tissue.

587

588 Other phantoms are under development. The AAPM has a task force developing and
589 validating a phantom specifically for MRIgHIFU. This phantom is currently being assessed by
590 different laboratories around the USA & Europe and should soon be commercially available

⁶ <https://www.fda.gov/media/128803/download>

591 through the phantom manufacturer CIRS⁷. Low cost thermochromic phantoms that can very
592 easily be homemade and used to monitor any drift in a HIFU system's output are also under
593 development (amongst others by the FUS Foundation.)

594

595 Treatments that don't rely on thermal mechanisms, but rather on mechanical effects, is an
596 area where the development of phantoms is needed.

597

598

599 Standards

600

601 It is not the scope of these recommendations to provide guidance on how to meet
602 regulatory requirements in the characterization of the treatment systems, but below is a list
603 of some of the standards to be followed for measurements and reporting for regulatory
604 submissions in accordance with the IEC 60601 series standards.

605

- 606 • IEC 60601-2-5 Ed. 3.0. Medical Electrical Equipment – Part 2-5: Particular
607 requirements for the safety of ultrasonic physiotherapy equipment. 2009.
- 608 • IEC 60601-2-36 Ed. 1.0. Medical Electrical Equipment – Part 2-36: Particular
609 requirements for the safety of equipment for extracorporeally induced lithotripsy.
610 1997.
- 611 • IEC 60601-2-37 Ed. 2.0. Medical Electrical Equipment – Part 2-37: Particular
612 requirements for the safety of ultrasonic medical diagnostic and monitoring
613 equipment. 2007.
- 614 • IEC 60601-2-62: Medical electrical equipment - Part 2-62: Particular requirements for
615 the basic safety and essential performance of high intensity therapeutic ultrasound
616 (HITU) equipment
- 617 • IEC 61161:2013 Ultrasonics - Power measurement - Radiation force balances and
618 performance requirements
- 619 • IEC 62555: Ultrasonics - Power measurement - High intensity therapeutic ultrasound
620 (HITU) transducers and systems
- 621 • IEC/TS 62556: Ultrasonics - Field characterization - Specification and measurement of
622 field parameters for high intensity therapeutic ultrasound (HITU) transducers and
623 systems
- 624 • IEC 61846 Ed. 1.0. Ultrasonics – Pressure pulse lithotripters – Characteristics of fields.
625 1998.
- 626 • IEC 62359 Ultrasonics Ed. 2.0. Field characterization - Test methods for the
627 determination of thermal and mechanical indices related to medical diagnostic
628 ultrasonic fields. 2010.
- 629 • IEC 61689 Ed. 2.0 Ultrasonics – Physiotherapy systems – Field specifications and
630 methods of measurement in the frequency range 0.5 MHz to 5 MHz. 2007.
- 631 • IEC 61847 Ed. 1.0 Ultrasonics – Surgical Systems - Measurement and declaration of
632 the basic output characteristics. 1998.
- 633 • EN45502-1 Section 22.1: Active implantable medical devices. 1998

⁷ <https://www.cirsinc.com>

- 634
- 635
- 636
- 637
- 638
- 639
- 640
- 641
- ISO14708 1: 2014 - Implants for surgery -- Active implantable medical devices -- Part 1: General requirements for safety, marking and for information to be provided by the manufacturer.
 - AIUM NEMA UD3 Standard for real time display of thermal and mechanical acoustic output indices on diagnostic ultrasound equipment. 2004 – Revision 2
 - AIUM NEMA UD2 Acoustic output measurement standard for diagnostic ultrasound equipment. 2007

642 References

643

- 644 1. ter Haar, G. *et al.* Guidance on Reporting Ultrasound Exposure Conditions for Bio-
645 Effects Studies. *Ultrasound Med Biol* **37**, 177–183 (2011).
- 646 2. Zhou, Y., Zhai, L., Simmons, R. & Zhong, P. Measurement of high intensity focused
647 ultrasound fields by a fiber optic probe hydrophone. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **120**, 676–
648 685 (2006).
- 649 3. Rieke, V. & Butts Pauly, K. MR thermometry. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **27**, 376–390
650 (2008).
- 651 4. Sanghvi N, Chen W, Carlson R, Weis C, Seip R, Uchida T, Marberger M. Clinical validation
652 of real-time tissue change monitoring during prostate tissue ablation with high
653 intensity focused ultrasound. *Journal of Therapeutic Ultrasound* (2017) 5:24 DOI
654 10.1186/s40349-017-0102-2
- 655 5. Illing RO, Leslie TA, Kennedy JE, Calleary JG, Ogden CW, Emberton M. Visually directed
656 high-intensity focused ultrasound for organ-confined prostate cancer: a proposed
657 standard for the conduct of therapy. *BJU Int.* 2006;98:1187–92.
- 658 6. Hijnen, N. *et al.* Thermal combination therapies for local drug delivery by magnetic
659 resonance-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
660 **114**, E4802–E4811 (2017).
- 661 7. Lafon C , Zderic V, Noble ML, Yuen JC, Kaczkowski PJ, Sapozhnikov OA,
662 Chavier F, Crum LA and Vaezy S. Gel phantom for use in high-intensity focused
663 ultrasound dosimetry. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2005, 31(10): 1383-1389.
- 664 8. Avinash Eranki, Andrew S. Mikhail, Ayele H. Negussie, Prateek S. Katti, Bradford J. Wood
665 & Ari Partanen (2019) Tissue-mimicking thermochromic phantom for
666 characterization of HIFU devices and applications, *International Journal of*
667 *Hyperthermia*, 36:1, 518-529, DOI: 10.1080/02656736.2019.1605458
- 668 9. Harris, G. R. A discussion of procedures for ultrasonic intensity and power calculations
669 from miniature hydrophone measurements. *Ultrasound Med Biol* **11**, 803–817
670 (1985).
- 671 10. Zhou, Y., Zhai, L., Simmons, R. & Zhong, P. Measurement of high intensity focused
672 ultrasound fields by a fiber optic probe hydrophone. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **120**, 676–
673 685 (2006).
- 674 11. Fry W J and Fry R B 1954a Determination of absolute sound levels and acoustic
675 absorption coefficients by thermocouple probes: Theory *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **26** 294–
676 310
- 677 12. Fry W J and Fry R B 1954b Determination of absolute sound levels and acoustic
678 absorption coefficients by thermocouple probes *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **26** 311–7
- 679 13. Hynynen K, Martin C J, Watmough D J and Mallard J R 1983 Errors in temperature
680 measurement by thermocouple probes during ultrasound induced hyperthermia *Br.*
681 *J. Radiol.* **56** 969–70
- 682 14. Morris, H., Rivens, I., Shaw, A. & Haar, ter, G. Investigation of the viscous heating
683 artefact arising from the use of thermocouples in a focused ultrasound field. *Phys*
684 *Med Biol* **53**, 4759–4776 (2008).
- 685 15. Tiennot, T., Kamimura, H. A. S., Lee, S. A., Aurup, C. & Konofagou, E. E. Numerical
686 modeling of ultrasound heating for the correction of viscous heating artifacts in soft
687 tissue temperature measurements. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **114**, 203702 (2019).

- 688 16. Bader K B, Vlasisavljevich E, Maxwell A, Review FOR WHOM THE BUBBLE GROWS :
689 PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES OF BUBBLE. 45 (2019) 1056–1080. doi:
690 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.10.035.
691
- 692 17. Wilkens V and Koch C, “Amplitude and phase calibration of hydrophones up to 70 MHz
693 using broadband pulse excitation and an optical reference hydrophone,” J. Acoust.
694 Soc. Amer., 115, pp. 2892–2903, 2004.
695
- 696 18. Wear K A, Gammell P M, Maruvada S, Liu Y, and Harris G R. Improved Measurement of
697 Acoustic Output Using Complex Deconvolution of Hydrophone Sensitivity, IEEE
698 Trans Ultrason. Ferroelectr., and Freq. Contr. 61, 62-75, 2014.
699
- 700 19. Wear K A and Howard S M. Correction for spatial averaging artifacts in hydrophone
701 measurements of high-intensity therapeutic ultrasound: an inverse filter approach,
702 IEEE Trans Ultrason. Ferroelectr., and Freq. Contr. 66, 1453-1464, 2019.
703
704
705
706

707 ANNEX 1: Medical Ultrasound Test Measurement Laboratories

708

709

710 [National Physical Laboratory \(NPL\)](#)

711 Hampton Road, Teddington, Middlesex, TW11 0LW, UNITED KINGDOM

712 Tel: 020 8977 3222

713 <https://www.npl.co.uk/acoustics>

714

715

716 [Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt \(PTB\)](#)

717 Bundesallee 100

718 38116 Braunschweig, GERMANY

719 [https://www.ptb.de/cms/en/research-development/subject-areas-in-metrology/acoustics-](https://www.ptb.de/cms/en/research-development/subject-areas-in-metrology/acoustics-ultrasound-acceleration.html)

720 [ultrasound-acceleration.html](https://www.ptb.de/cms/en/research-development/subject-areas-in-metrology/acoustics-ultrasound-acceleration.html)

721

722

723 [Acertara Acoustic Laboratories](#)

724 1950 Lefthand Creek Lane

725 Longmont, CO 80501, USA

726 Tel: +1 303.834.8413

727 <https://www.acertaralabs.com/>

728

729

730 [ONDA Corporation](#)

731 1290 Hammerwood Avenue

732 Sunnyvale, CA 94089, USA

733 Tel: +1 408.745.0383

734 <http://ondacorp.com/>

735 <http://www.ondasonics.com/>

736

737

738 [Precision Acoustics Ltd](#)

739 Hampton Farm Business Park

740 Higher Bockhampton

741 Dorchester, Dorset DT2 8QH, UNITED KINGDOM

742 +44 (0) 1305 264669

743 <https://www.acoustics.co.uk/>

744

745

746 [Schaffer Acoustics Inc.](#)

747 943 Embury St. Los Angeles, CA 90272, USA

748 Tel: +1 310-459-6463

749 <http://www.schaffer-acoustics.com>

750

751

752 [TUV SUD](#)

753 TÜV SÜD Aktiengesellschaft



- 754 Westendstraße 199
755 80686 München, GERMANY
756 Phone: +49 (0)89 5791-0
757 info@tuev-sued.de
758 <https://www.tuvsud.com/en/industries/healthcare-and-medical-devices/medical-devices-and-ivd/medical-device-testing/physical-testing-of-medical-devices/ultrasound-testing>
759
760
761
762 F2labs
763 26501 Ridge Rd
764 Damascus, MD 20872, USA
765 301-253-4500
766 https://f2labs.com/medical-equipment-testing-certification?gclid=CjwKCAjw5lj2BRBdEiwA0Frc9bgEF2D7xG1WQnYSHQk2Q601MvrTH_ZMXzfvx6nT2zE42LOPqoaHTRoC3WEQAvD_BwE
767
768
769
770
771 Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica (INRIM)
772 Strada delle Cacce, 91
773 10135 Torino, ITALY
774 tel: +39 011 3919 1
775 <https://www.inrim.eu/services/metrology-services>
776
777
778 TÜBİTAK National Metrology Institute (TÜBİTAK UME)
779 TÜBİTAK Gebze Yerleşkesi
780 P.K. 54 41470 Gebze/KOCAEL, TURKEY
781 (262) 679 50 00
782 <http://www.ume.tubitak.gov.tr>
783
784
785 National Institute of Metrology Standardization and Industrial Quality (INMETRO)
786 BRAZIL
787 <https://www4.inmetro.gov.br/>
788
789
790
791
792
793
794